ORIGINAL



BEFORE THE ARIZONATION COMMISSION 1 Arizona Corporation Commission 2 **COMMISSIONERS** 2005 JUN 22 P 12: 44 DOCKETED JEFF HATCH-MILLER, CHAIRMAN 3 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JUN 2 2 2005 AZ CORP COMMISSION MARC SPITZER DOCUMENT CONTROL 4 MIKE GLEASON DOCKETED BY KRISTIN K. MAYES 5 6 DOCKET NO. W-04264A-04-0438 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF WOODRUFF WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR A 7 CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER SERVICES IN PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA. 9 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. SW-04265A-04-0439 10 WOODRUFF UTILITY COMPANY, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND 11 NECESSITY TO PROVIDE SEWER SERVICE IN PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA. 12 13 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-01445A-04-0755 ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA 14 CORPORATION, TO EXTEND ITS EXISTING MOTION TO BIFURCATE CERTIFICATES OF CONVENIENCE AND 15 REGARDING NECESSITY AT CASA GRANDE AND ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S COOLIDGE, PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA. 16 UNCONTESTED EXTENSION **AREA** 17 18

Pulte Home Corporation ("Pulte"), through undersigned counsel, moves to bifurcate the portion of the consolidated dockets related to Arizona Water Company's application (Docket No. W-01445A-04-0755) seeking the authority to provide water service to a proposed master planned community to be developed by Pulte and known as "Martin Valley Ranch" (the "Martin Valley Development"). The Martin Valley Development extension is not within the area covered by Woodruff Water Company's application and is uncontested. Commission Staff also supports the Arizona Water Company extension to the Martin Valley Development. Commission Staff and Arizona Water Company have indicated to counsel for Pulte that they support this motion to bifurcate. Woodruff Water Company and Woodruff Utilities Company have indicated to counsel for Pulte that they do not oppose bifurcation but would object to disruption of their witness order.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

In support of this motion to bifurcate, Pulte states as follows:

- 1. Pursuant to an agreement between Pulte, as the buyer, and Marcus David Martin ("Martin"), as the seller, Pulte has the exclusive right and option to acquire the real property comprising the Martin Valley Development, which consists of a 565.5 acre parcel of land located in Pinal County. Pulte intends to acquire and develop the real property comprising the Martin Valley Development as a master planned community (consisting of single-family, residential homes and other uses). Pulte has formally requested that Arizona Water Company extend its certificate of convenience and necessity to be able to provide water service to the Martin Valley Development. A copy of Pulte's request is attached as Exhibit 1 to Arizona Water Company's application in Docket No. W-01445A-04-0755.
- 2. Pulte is proceeding in its development of the Martin Valley Development. Pulte currently anticipates that it will require water service at Martin Valley Development on or about October 2005.
- 3. It has become apparent that the contested portion of this consolidated docket will be a protracted proceeding. It is uncertain whether the evidentiary hearing will conclude on June 29, 2005. In that event, Pulte understands that the hearing may be continued until the Fall of 2005. Given the issues raised by the contested portion of the docket, it may be a substantial time before a proposed order is issued by the Hearing Division and considered by the Commission at Open Meeting. It also is likely that the unsuccessful applicant will appeal the Commission's decision, potentially leaving the portion of the uncontested.
- 4. To avoid such substantial delays and uncertainty regarding the uncontested portion of the consolidated docket, Pulte requests bifurcation of the uncontested portion of Arizona Water Company's extension application. Pulte further requests that: (i) during the resumption of the hearing on June 27 and 29, 2005, the appropriate witness for Arizona Water Company be allowed to testify about the uncontested extension portion of the application and (ii) a witness for Commission Staff be allowed to testify the portions of the Staff Report related to the uncontested extension request. Pulte does not believe that testimony would take significant time or unduly

delay the resolution of the contested issues. Moreover, cross-examination on that bifurcated portion of the docket would not foreclose cross-examination on the contested issues later in the hearing in the other portion of the bifurcated dockets.

5. Further, in connection with that bifurcation, Pulte requests that the Hearing Division issue a separate proposed order addressing only the uncontested portion of Arizona Water Company's extension application. That will provide a Commission decision that would not be affected by the any appeal of the contested issues.

WHEREFORE, Pulte requests that: (i) this proceeding be bifurcated to allow the portion of Arizona Water Company's application to extend its certificate of convenience and necessity to the Martin Valley Development to proceed immediately and (ii) the Hearing Division issue a proposed order on uncontested extension request separate from a proposed order on the contested portions of the dockets.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 221 day of June, 2005.

ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF, PLC

Bv

Raymond S. Heyman Michael W. Patten One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Attorneys for Pulte Home Corporation

Original and 17 copies of the foregoing filed this 23 md day of June 2005 with:

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FACSIMILE 602-256-6800	1	Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed this 22 nd day of June 2005 to:
	2	Marc E. Stern, Esq. Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	Timothy J. Sabo, Esq. Diane M. Targovnik, Esq. Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	Ernest G. Johnson, Esq. Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
	12	
	13	
	14	Jeffery W. Crockett, Esq. Kimberley A. Grouse, Esq. Snell & Wilmer 400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Woodruff Water Company
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	Robert W. Geake, Esq. Arizona Water Company P.O. Box 29006 Phoenix, Arizona 85038
	19	
	20	Steven A. Hirsch, Esq. Bryan Cave Two North Central Avenue, Ste. 2200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorney for Arizona Water Company
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	By Man Sppolits
	25	
	26	
	27	